



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/014,733	12/11/2001 .	Ikuo Tsukagoshi	50P4536.01	5779
759	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Sony Electronics Inc. Intellectual Property Department 16450 West Bernardo Drive, MZ 7190 San Diego, CA 92127-1898			PHILIPPE, GIMS S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/014,733	TSUKAGOSHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gims S Philippe	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u>.</u>				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. Is have been received in Application Ity documents have been receive	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	A) [] [-t	(DTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
- aper mo(s)mian Date	o)				

Application/Control Number: 10/014,733

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first action in response to application no. 10/014,733 filed on December 11 2001 in which claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Greenfield et al. (US Patent no. 5,760,836).

Art Unit: 2613

As per claims 1, 19 and 20, Greenfield discloses a method comprising determining an overrun in the encoding of an input bitstream, determining the severity of the overrun, and encoding a current frame using at least one catch-up mode to process the overrun (See Greenfield col. 5, lines 27-30, lines 60-67). Note that the adjustment step in Greenfield is the claimed "catch up".

As per claims 2 and 10, since Greenfield does not particularly disclose skipping frame, the encoding is assumed without such step (See col. 6, lines 46-54).

As per claims 3-4, and 11-12, Greenfield further anticipates the finishing time for the encoding (See col. 7, lines 19-34).

As per claims 5 and 13, Greenfield further determines the overrun occurs before encoding a next frame (See col. 5, lines 60-67 and col. 6, lines 1-5).

As per claims 6 and 14, the catch up processing as seen in Greenfield does not occur during a subsequent overrun (See col. 6, lines 3-11).

As per claim 7-8 and 15-18, speeding up the encoding process is considered met in Greenfield's col. 7, lines 19-34.

Art Unit: 2613

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kikuchi et al. (US Patent no. 5862153) teaches coding apparatus and decoding apparatus for transmission/storage of information.

Mohsenian (US Patent no. 6278735) teaches real-time single pass variable bit rate control strategy and encoder.

Maturi et al. (US Patent no. 5960006) teaches MPEG decoding system adjusting the presentation in a predetermined manner based on the actual and requested decoding time.

Hurst (US Patent no. 6763067) teaches rate control for bitstream re-encoding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S Philippe whose telephone number is (703) 305-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,733

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gims S Philippe
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2613

GSP

November 13, 2004